

Message

From: Szafranski, Cary (WES) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=CSZAFRANSKI]
Sent: 6/2/2010 5:31:02 PM
To: Farak, Sonja (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Sonja.Farak]
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]

Hi Sonja,

The [REDACTED] case pled out today, but a co-defendant decided not to plead and that case is rescheduled to 9/1. If that date doesn't work for you, just let me know -- I'll send out a new summons.

Best,

Cary

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 1:35 PM
To: Szafranski, Cary (WES)
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]
Yes, I'm available - it's the only case I've got scheduled for tomorrow.

Sonja

From: Szafranski, Cary (WES)
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 12:29 PM
To: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]
Hi Sonia,

This is still on -- are you available to be on call tomorrow?

Best,

Cary

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 10:07 AM
To: Szafranski, Cary (WES)
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]
Hi Cary-

I'm guessing that I'm on call for this trial, which is scheduled for tomorrow, June 2nd, 2010. Please let me know if this is not the case, or if it has been resolved/continued/etc. Thanks.

-Sonja

From: Szafranski, Cary (WES)
Sent: Tuesday, April 13, 2010 8:46 AM
To: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]
Hi Sonja,

Yes, on call is perfect for all the cases. Thanks for keeping me posted, I really appreciate it.

Best,

Cary

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Sent: Tuesday, April 13, 2010 6:53 AM
To: Szafranski, Cary (WES)
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]
Cary-

I received an email from the ADA in Northampton saying that I do not need to be there on Wed. as both the ADA and defense atty in that case "anticipate this case resolving", so I'm available for both of your cases in Springfield. I'll assume that I'll be on call for both unless I hear differently from you.

-Sonja

From: Szafranski, Cary (WES)
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2010 4:34 PM
To: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]
Hi Sonja,

I had hoped that this case (there's actually 2, [REDACTED] and a co-defendant, [REDACTED] would be continued because there was a motion to suppress pending -- however, I just got the judge's decision denying the motion to suppress today. So this one, along with [REDACTED] are still possibilities for Wednesday. If you hear anything else about the Northampton case let me know.

Best,

Cary

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Sent: Friday, April 09, 2010 1:04 PM
To: Szafranski, Cary (WES)
Subject: v. [REDACTED]
Hi Cary-

I received your summons for the [REDACTED] trial scheduled for April 14th earlier today and wanted to remind you that, as with the [REDACTED] case, I already have a trial scheduled in Northampton that day. I have made contact with the ADA in that case and she told me that she 'hasn't heard anything yet' and that she 'will call

the atty and get back to me'. That was this past Wed. (April 7) and I haven't heard back from her, so I'm not sure what is happening with that case. I'll keep you updated when I find out more.

-Sonja

Sonja Farak
Drug Analysis Lab